UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,168		PG5019USw	9215	
23347 GLAXOSMITH	7590 06/25/200 HKLINE	EXAMINER		
	INTELLECTUAL PRO	FINN, MEGHAN R		
	DR., PO BOX 13398 RIANGLE PARK, NC	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614	
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/25/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USCIPRTP@GSK.COM LAURA.M.MCCULLEN@GSK.COM JULIE.D.MCFALLS@GSK.COM

Office Action Summary		Α	Application No. Applicant(s)					
			10/533,168		BIGGADIKE, KEITH			
		E	xaminer		Art Unit			
		N	MEGHAN FINN		1614			
Ti Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this commun eply	ication appea	rs on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress		
WHICHE - Extension after SIX ( - If NO peric - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F VER IS LONGER, FROM THE M s of time may be available under the provisions 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn of for reply is specified above, the maximum st reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months a tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. atutory period will a will, by statute, car	E OF THIS CO a). In no event, how apply and will expire use the application to	DMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) file	ed on 29 April	1 2005					
•			ction is non-fin	al.				
<i>′</i> =		<i>′</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is		
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	·	,	,	,				
·		nending in th	ne annlication					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-12 and 14-26</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
		ie williarawii	nom consider	ation.				
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
·	im(s) is/are objected to.		4	. 1 4	<b>-</b>			
8) <u> X </u> Cla	im(s) <u>1-8, 10-12, and 14-26</u> are s	subject to resi	triction and/or	election requiren	nent.			
Application	Papers							
9) <b></b> The	specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10) <u></u> The	drawing(s) filed on is/are:	: a) <u></u> accept	ted or b)□ ob	jected to by the E	Examiner.			
App	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Rep	placement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if th	e drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice of 3) Informatic	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa Other:	te			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-7, 10-12, and 15-19, drawn to a composition of formula (I).

Group II, claim(s) 8, 20-22, drawn to a method of treating with compounds of formula (I).

Group III, claim(s) 14, 23-26, drawn to a process for preparing compounds of formula (I).

There is no special technical feature between groups I and II, because the special technical feature of a composition is the chemical compound(s) present. The special technical feature of a method of treatment is the interaction of the compound with a specific receptor or the body being treated. The special technical feature for a method of making is the interaction between the starting material and other components added. As such there is no special technical feature between groups I and II and thus there is a lack of unity of invention and restriction is proper.

This application also contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

## Regardless of which group is elected:

Applicant has claimed compounds of formula (I) which has many variables and hundreds of possible structures. There is no real core structure which unites all these compounds and the variables are significant enough to require separate searches for each compound. Thus applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species of compound of formula (I), which all variables specified.

Applicants are cautioned that election of a specific compound which has not been specifically disclosed as filed may be determined to be new matter.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 4

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP

Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

§ 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder**. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,168

Page 6

Art Unit: 1614

Friday (EST).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meghan Finn whose telephone number is (571) 270-3281. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5pm Mon-Thu, 7:30am-4pm

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Meghan Finn

/Ardin Marschel/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614